UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America V. ROGER DALE CHARLES Date of Previous Judgment: 6/17/2005 (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable) Order Regarding Motion for Sentence R)) Case No: 2:04CR00027-001) USM No: 18450-058) David Burgess) Defendant's Attorney Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons □ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,	
_	s previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RAN Previous Offense Level: 37 Criminal History Category: VI Previous Guideline Range: 360 to life months	Amended Offense Level: 37 Criminal History Category: VI Amended Guideline Range: 360 to life months
 II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE □ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. □ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range. ■ Other (explain): No reduction is recommended as there is no change in the guideline calculations due to the defendant's status as a Career Offender. 	
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 6/17/2005 shall remain in effect. IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Order Date: July 30, 2009	MAR
Effective Date:	Lacy H. Thornburg United States District Judge